EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-0818-PWS-E **TCEQ ID:** RN101397131 **CASE NO.:** 33546

RESPONDENT NAME: Burleson County MUD 1

ORDER TYPE:		
1660 AGREED ORDER	X FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
X PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	_OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURE TYPE OF OPERATION: Public water sup SMALL BUSINESS:YesX		ane, Burleson County
OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: The facility location.	re are no complaints. There is no record of additiona	al pending enforcement actions regarding this
INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other th	an the ED and the Respondent has expressed an inte	rest in this matter.
CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinate TCEQ Enforcement Coordinate 239-1482; Mr. David Van Soest, E	or: None r: Ms. Andrea Linson-Mgbeoduru, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0468 President, Board of Directors, Burleson County MU	rision, Enforcement Team 2, MC 169, (512)
Texas 77879	esented by counsel on this enforcement matter	1, 200 Shadow Oak Lane, Sometvine,

RESPONDENT NAME: Burleson County MUD 1

DOCKET NO.: 2007-0818-PWS-E

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS BYTESIC CERTSEA CONTROL 2	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED, 10001
Type of Investigation: Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-upX Records Review Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: April 24, 2007 Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case:	Total Assessed: \$850 Total Deferred: \$0 Expedited Settlement Financial Inability to Pay SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 Total Paid to General Revenue: \$850 Site Compliance History Classification HighAverage Poor	Ordering Provisions: The Order will require the Respondent to: a. Within 365 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order, return to compliance with the running annual average MCL for TTHM; and b. Within 380 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including
January 5, April 19, July 20, and November 10, 2006 and January 30, 2007 (NOVs)	Person Compliance History Classification High Average Poor	photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision a.
Background Facts: This was a routine record review. One violation was documented.	Major Source: Yes X No Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	
WATER Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") for total trihalomethanes ("TTHM") during the fourth quarter 2005, and the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2006 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.113(f)(4) and Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c)].	Findings Orders Justification: The Respondent has three or more enforcement actions over the prior five year period for the same or similar violation.	

Additional ID No(s).: PWS ID No. 0260005

	Penalty Calculation	n Worksheet (Po	CW)	
Policy Revision 2 (Sept	tember 2002)		PCW Revision	March 19, 2007
DATES Assigned	21-May-2007			
PCW		EPA Due 31-Aug-2007		22 - 75 - 7 - 7
vices recognized recognization of the second				
RESPONDENT/FACILITY				
	Burleson County MUD 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- and/open
Reg. Ent. Ref. No. Facility/Site Region		Major/Minor Source	Minor	
r acmity/offe region	0-1/400		THE CO.	
CASE INFORMATION				dowai
Enf./Case ID No.	33546	No. of Violations		
	2007-0818-PWS-E	Order Type		, .
	Public Water Supply		Andrea Linson-Mgbeod EnforcementTeam 2	. nuc
Multi-Media	Limit Minimum \$50 Maximum	\$1,000	Emorcement ream 2	
Admin. Penalty \$ 1	LIMIT WITHINGTH \$30 WAXIITUM	\$1,000		
	Penalty Calcula	tion Section		
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penaltie	s)******	Subtotal 1	\$500
	•			9 9 9 9 19 19 19
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)				Sivings, 2015
	ned by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by		4-1-0 0 0 7	\$225
Compliance Hist	Enhancement is due to five Notices of Violation		otals 2, 3, & 7	\$223
Notes				The same of the sa
Notes	prior Agreed Order containing a			NI CALLES
			· Language and the comment of the co	#40 F
Culpability		Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$125
	The Respondent received three alert letter			***************************************
Notes	("TTHM") exceedances, dated August 2, 2 July 11, 2005.			TEL CONTROL OF THE PERSON OF T
	July 11, 2005.			ooronisti (ili
Good Faith Effor	t to Comply 0%	Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer			WI WHITE THE PROPERTY OF THE P
Extraordinary				
Ordinary				
N/A	X (mark with x)		1	
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	e good faith criteria.		popological de la companya de la com
				o company
	0%	Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
		at the Total EB \$ Amount		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.
Approx.	Cost of Compliance \$2,500			
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	C1_7		inal Subtotal	\$850
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	·		mai oubtotai	,
OTHER EACTORS A	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	The state of the s	Adjustment	\$0
	Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only	y; e.g30 for -30%.)	Adjustment	
Notes	The state of the s			-
]	40.50
		Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$850
OTATUTO DV 1 15	AD HIOTREDIT MAN IN A SECRETARIO		and Danatus	\$850
STATUTORY LIMIT		Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$650
DEEEDDAI		OO/ Paduation	Adjustment	\$0
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Pe	nalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.	0% Reduction	Adjustment	
ו ליטעניטט שום ו ווומו אסטטטטטע דל	2) are maioted personage. (Enter number only, e.	y. == 10, == 10 (odds.e/h)	7	
Notes	No deferral is recommended for	Findings Orders.		

PAYABLE PENALTY

\$850

Screening Date 22-May-2007 Docket No. 2007-0818-PWS-E

PCW

Respondent Burleson County MUD 1

Case ID No. 33546

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision March 19, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101397131

Media [Statute] Public Water Supply

Enf. Coordinator Andrea Linson-Mgbeoduru

Compliance	History \	Worksheet
------------	-----------	-----------

NOVa	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action	5	25%
NOVs	(number of NOVs meeting criteria) Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders	rasi yayar Telayaran	
	meeting criteria)	gelong (194) Older Specialistic Gregoria	20%
Örders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0.	0%
Judgmer		0	0%
and Cons Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court	i de o s ser el constante de la constante de	0%
Convictio		, 0	0%
Emission		0	0%
	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Audits	duality of million rouses made sustained	ylii:	. 1101
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	xe 0 ake	0%
		e Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	THE NOT THE	Ò%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	Nô	0%
	Adjustment Pe	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 2) [
at Violator	Subtotal 3)	laughi sensey)	
<u> </u>	NA regently Adjustment Pe	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 3) [
liance His	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
	N/A Adjustment Pe	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 7) [
liance His	ory Summary	6 465 F 5 469,	Tij.
Compliar History	Enhancement is due to five Notices of Violation ("NOVs") containing the same or similar violation current enforcement action and one prior Agreed Order containing a denial of liability.		

Seminor Events Number of Violation Events Number of Viol	Scre	ening Date 22	-May-2007		Doc	ket No. 2007-0818-PWS-E		PCW
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101397131 Media [Statute] Public Water Supply Enf. Coordinator Andrea Linson-Mighoeduru Violation Number Rule Cite(s) Rule Cite(s) 83 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.113()(4) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c) Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 milligrams per liter ("mg/L") based on a running annual average for total trihalomethanes ("TTHM"). Specifically, the running annual average concentration for TTHM was 0.104 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2005, 0.083 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2005, 0.086 mg/L for the second quarter of 2005, 0.083 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2006, 0.083 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2006, 0.086 mg/L for the second quarter of 2005, 0.083 mg/L for the second quarter of 2005, 0.083 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2006, 0.086 mg/L for the second quarter of 2006, 0.083 mg/L for the second quarter of 2006, 0.083 mg/L for the second quarter of 2006, 0.083 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2006, 0.086 mg/L for the second quarter of 2006, 0.083 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2006, 0.086 mg/L for the second quarter of 2006, 0.083 mg/L for the bird quarter of 2006, 0.088 mg/L for the second quarter of 2006, 0.083 mg/L for the second	F	Respondent Bเ	ırleson Cour	nty MUD 1			Policy Revisit	on 2 (September 2002)
Second content of the water supply Second content of the water supply Second content of the water supply have been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which did not exceed levels protective of human health.							PCW R	evision March 19, 2007
Ent. Coordinator Andrea Linson-Migheoduru Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.113(f)(4) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c) Falled to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 millitgrams per liter ("mg/L") based on a running annual average for total inhalomethanes ("TTHM"). Specifically, the running annual average concentration for TTHM was 0.104 mg/L for the four four terror of 2005, 0.093 mg/L for the four quarter of 2005, and 0.086 mg/L for the four quarter of 2005. **Septimized Hamiltonian Health Matrix** **Release** **Release** **Major** **Release** **Major** **Moderate** **Moderate** **Moderate** **Moderate** **Moderate** **Minor** **Percent** **Percent** **Description** **Matrix** **Customers of the water supply have been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which did not exceed levels protective of human health. **Notes** **Violation Events** **Number of Violation Events**	-							аппесонны
Violation Number 1								BADILLY (MRKK)
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.113(f)(4) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c) Falled to comply with the maximum contaminant level (*McL*) of 0.080 milligrams per lifer (*mg/t*) based on a running a motal average for total trinsformations (*TTHM*). Specifically a second quarter of 2005, 0.091 mg/t, for the total trinsformation for TTHM* was 0.104 mg/t. for the fourth quarter of 2005, 0.085 mg/t. for the fourth quarter of 2006, 0.085 mg/t. for the second quarter of 2006, 0.085 mg/t. for the fourth quarter of 2006, 0.085 mg/t. for		·	ndrea Linson	-Mgbeoduru				, And
Violation Description Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 miligrams partition ("mg/") based on a running annual average for total trihalomethanes ("THM"). Specifically, the running annual average concentration for THM was 0.104 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2000, 0.09 mg/L for the first quarter of 2006, 0.08 mg/L for the second quarter of 2006, 0.09 mg/L for the first quarter of 2006, and 0.088 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2006, and 0.088 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2006. **Percent 25%** **Proparammatic Matrix** Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%* **Customers of the water supply have been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which did not exceed levels protective of human health. **Adjustment** **Statutory Limit Test** **Two annual events are recommended.** **Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test** **Estimated EB Amount** **Statutory Limit Test** **Estimated EB Amount** **Statutory Limit Test** **Estimated EB Amount** **Statutory Falsification Final Penalty Total** **Statutory Total** **Trong Total** **Statutory Total** **Stat	Viol	lation Number	1	,				
Violation Description William Description Violation Description William Description Violation Description William Description Wil		Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Adn	nin. Code § 29	90.113(f)(4) and	Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341	.0315(c)	·
Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Release Major Moderate Minor Actual X Percent 25% Percent 30% Percent 0% Adjustment \$750 \$255 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 2 \$587 Number of violation days Two annual x single event \$500 Two annual events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$500 Violation Final Penalty Total \$850	Violatio	on Description	iter ("mg/L") Specifically, he fourth qua	based on a re the running a arter of 2005,	unning annual av nnual average co 0.091 mg/L for the .093 mg/L for the	erage for total trihalomethanes (oncentration for TTHM was 0.104 ne first quarter of 2006, 0.086 mg third quarter of 2006, and 0.088	"TTHM"). mg/L for g/L for the	
Harm Actual						Bas	se Penalty	\$1,000
Harm Actual	>> Environmenta	II. Property and	d Human	Health Mat	rix			
Percent 25% >>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% Matrix Notes Customers of the water supply have been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which did not exceed levels protective of human health. Adjustment \$750 \$250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 2 587 Number of violation days with an x daily monthly quarterly quarterly annual x single event					T. P. T. S. C. C. A. C. C. V. V. G. S. C. C. S. C.			
Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Mi	12 (1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	r	Major	Moderate	Minor			
Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Statutory Limit Test	OR	- Lance		X		D () () () ()		
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% Matrix Notes Customers of the water supply have been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which did not exceed levels protective of human health. **Adjustment** **Adjustment** **S750* **S250* Violation Events* Number of Violation Events* Number of Violation Events* **Number of Violation Events* **Number of Violation Events* **Number of Violation Base Penalty* **S50* **Two annual events are recommended.** Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation **Estimated EB Amount** **S505* **Violation Final Penalty Total* **S55*		Potential				Percent 25%		
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% Matrix Notes Customers of the water supply have been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which did not exceed levels protective of human health. **Adjustment** **Adjustment** **S750* **S250* Violation Events* Number of Violation Events* Number of Violation Events* **Number of Violation Events* **Number of Violation Events* **Number of Violation Base Penalty* **S50* **Two annual events are recommended.** Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation **Estimated EB Amount** **S505* **Violation Final Penalty Total* **S55*	>>Programmatic	Matrix						*
Matrix Notes Customers of the water supply have been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which did not exceed levels protective of human health. Adjustment \$750 \$256 Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events Adily monthly quarterly semiannual annual x single event Two annual events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$55	rogrammatic		Maior	Moderate	Minor			
Notes exceed levels protective of human health. Adjustment \$750 \$250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 2 587 Number of violation days daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event Two annual events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85						Percent 0%		
Notes exceed levels protective of human health. Adjustment \$750 \$250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 2 587 Number of violation days daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event Two annual events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85								
Violation Events Number of Violation Events 2	12 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Customers of t	he water sup				ich did not	
Violation Events Number of Violation Events Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation		AUCUS COMPUNICATION	erekenduran di Digita	er desertant		Adjustment	\$750	
Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events 2	and the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section		earting these to Novel			DESTENDED LA COMPANION DE LA C		0050
Number of Violation Events 2 587 Number of violation days daily					•			\$250
Number of Violation Events 2 587 Number of violation days daily	vr. v	Established Alexandria			Lagrana A			
daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event Two annual events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85	violation Events		3 4 6 6					
daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event Two annual events are recommended. Two annual events are recommended Statutory Limit Test		Number of Viola	tion Events	2		587 Number of violation da	ays	
merk only one with an x semiannual annual x single event Two annual events are recommended. Two annual events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85		,	<u>.</u>] L			
Two annual events are recommended. Comparison of the comparison			daily					
with an x semiannual annual x single event Two annual events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85			sala turbi kula (Frakli)				,	¢ E0.
annual x single event Two annual events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85						Violation Ba	se Penalty	\$50
Two annual events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85		With an x						
Two annual events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85			AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF	X				
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85			iiigie evenit		<u>I</u>		· -	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85					= .			
Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85				Two annu	ial events are red	commended.		
Estimated EB Amount \$505 Violation Final Penalty Total \$85								
Louint Louin	Economic Benef	fit (EB) for this	violation	in second well		Statutory Limit To	est	
		Estimated	EB Amount		\$505	Violation Final Pe	nalty Total	\$850
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$85	•							.
			1918 - Filozofo Albakaska boska	7 *** *********************************	his violation Fi	nal Assessed Penalty (adjusted	l for limits)	\$850

Economic Benefit Worksheet Respondent Burleson County MUD 1 Case ID No. 33546 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101397131 Years of Media Public Water Supply Percent Interest Violation No. 1 Item Cost **Date Required** Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** 0,0 0,0 2,9 0,0 \$0 \$0 Equipment Buildings \$0 \$0 31-Aug-2008 \$24 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) \$505 Engineering/construction \$0 0,0 n/a . Record Keeping System 0.0 n/a Training/Sampling 0.0 Remediation/Disposal 0,0 Permit Costs 0.0 n/a Other (as needed) n/a Estimated cost to implement an alternative method of disinfection. The date required is the first date of Notes for DELAYED costs exceedence. The final date is the estimated date of compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** Disposal 0.0 Personnel 0,0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0,0 Supplies/equipment 0.0 0.0 Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0,0 Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs

\$2,500

Approx. Cost of Compliance

TOTAL

\$505

Compliance History

Customer	/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN600736797	Burles	on County MUD 1		Classification:	Rating:
Regulated	Entity:	RN101397131	BURL	ESON COUNTY MU	D 1	Classification:	Site Rating:
ID Numbe	r(s):	PUBLIC WATER WATER LICENS		//SUPPLY	REGIS'	TRATION SE	0260005 0260005
Location:		200 SHADOW OA	AK LANE	, BURLESON COUN	TY, TX		,
TCEQ Re	gion:	REGION 09 - WA	CO				
Date Com	pliance History Prepared:	May 21, 2007					
Agency D	ecision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement					
Complian	ce Period:	May 21, 2002 to I	May 21, 2	2007			
TCEQ Sta	aff Member to Contact for Additional Info	mation Regarding t	his Comp	oliance History			****
Name:	Andrea Linson-Mgbeoduru	Ph	one:	512-239-1482		7	in the second of
		Site C	omplia	nce History Comp	onents		•
1. Has the	e site been in existence and/or operation	for the full five year	complia	nce period?	Yes		the grown arms we do you
	ere been a (known) change in ownership	of the site during th	e compli	ance period?	No	\$ 3.	$\label{eq:continuous_problem} \varphi = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Z}^{n} \cdot \mathbf{Y}^{n} - \mathbf{X}^{n} \right) - \mathbf{X}^{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \; .$
	who is the current owner?	,			N/A		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. if Yes,	who was/were the prior owner(s)?				N/A		
5. When	did the change(s) in ownership occur?				N/A		
Compo	nents (Multimedia) for the Site :						
A.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judger	ments, and consent				_	
	Effective Date: 07/15/2005 Classification: Minor		ΑC	MINORDER 2004-0	657-PWS-	·Ε	
		apter 290, SubChar	oter D 29	0.46(m)(1)			
	Description: Failure to in	spect the pressure	and grou	nd storage tanks ann	ually.		
	Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Ch	apter 290, SubChar	oter D 29	0.42(h)			
	Description: Unauthorize	ed discharge of reve	erse osmo	osis reject water.			•
В.	Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A	Texas and the fede	eral gover	nment.			
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events.						
	N/A						
D.	The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track.	No.)				
	1 04/09/2004 (265824)						Company of the second
	2 01/05/2006 (558000) 3 04/19/2006 (558326)						
	4 07/20/2006 (558329)						
	5 11/10/2006 (558335) 6 01/30/2007 (558376)						
	7 05/14/2007 (559889)						
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (C	CEDS Inv. Track. N	o.)				
	Date: 01/05/2006 (55800	0)					
	Self Report? NO		L E 000		ification:	Moderate	
	Description: Violated the of 2005.			1.113(f)(4) I for Trihalomethanes	during the	fourth quarter	
	Date: 04/19/2006 (55832	6)		01	ification	Modorata	
	Description: Violated the	apter 290, SubChap maximum contami			ification: during the	Moderate e first quarter of	
	2006. Date: 07/20/2006 (55832	9)					

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderat

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for Trihalomethanes during the second quarter

of 2006.

\ Date: 11/10/2006 (558335)

Self Report? NO Classification:

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for Trihalomethanes during the third quarter of

2006

Date: 01/30/2007 (558376

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

Description: Violated the maximum contaminant level for Trihalomethanes during the fourth quarter

of 2006.

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

K. Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
BURLESON COUNTY MUD 1	§	
RN101397131	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
	•	

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-0818-PWS-E

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Burleson County MUD 1 ("the District") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 341. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the District presented this agreement to the Commission.

The District understands that it has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Agreed Order, the District agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Order represents the complete and fully-integrated settlement of the parties. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Agreed Order are binding upon the District.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The District owns and operates a public water supply located at 200 Shadow Oak Lane in Burleson County, Texas (the "Facility") that has approximately 261 service connections and serves at least 25 people per day for at least 60 days per year.

- 2. During a record review on April 24, 2007, TCEQ staff documented that the District exceeded the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 milligrams per liter ("mg/L") based on a running annual average for total trihalomethanes ("TTHM"). Specifically, the running annual average concentration for TTHM was 0.104 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2005, 0.091 mg/L for the first quarter of 2006, 0.086 mg/L for the second quarter of 2006, 0.093 mg/L for the third quarter of 2006, and 0.088 mg/L for the fourth quarter of 2006.
- 3. The District received notices of the violations dated January 5, 2006, April 19, 2006, July 20, 2006, November 10, 2006, and January 30, 2007.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The District is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 341 and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 2, the District failed to comply with the MCL for TTHM during the fourth quarter 2005, and the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2006, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.113(f)(4) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c).
- 3. Pursuant to Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.049, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against the District for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 4. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$850) is justified by the facts recited in this Agreed Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.049(b). The District has paid the Eight Hundred Fifty Dollar (\$850) administrative penalty.

III. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The District is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$850) as set forth in Section II, Paragraph 4 above, for violations of TCEQ rules and state statutes. The payment of this administrative penalty and the District's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order completely resolve the violations set forth by this Agreed Order in this action. However, the Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations that are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Burleson County MUD 1, Docket No. 2007-0818-PWS-E" to:

And the stopping the source of the House of the

and the second s

entre de la companya La companya de la co La companya de la co

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The District shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 365 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order, return to compliance with the running annual average MCL for TTHM, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.113; and
 - b. Within 380 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

DBP Compliance Coordinator Water Supply Division, PDWS, MC 155 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the District. The District is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.

The transfer of the CAMB CAMB CAMB CAMB CAMB CAMB CAMB

- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the District shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the District receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 5. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to the District if the Executive Director determines that the District has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 6. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the District in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 8. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 9. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the third day after the mailing date, as provided by 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b) and TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.142.

Algebra (1945) (1945) (1945) Algebra (1946) (1946) (1946) (1946) Algebra (1946) (1946) (1946) (1946)

•

en de la companya de la co

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

and the second of the second o

in the control of the

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

 \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{x} is the problem of the second sec

Sep 19 2007 10:28 P.Oz

Burleson County MUD I DOCKET NO. 2007-0818-PWS-E Page 5

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
•	
ander Sollie	16/3/07
for the Executive Director	Date
, the undersigned, have read and understand the attach	ned Agreed Order in the matter of Burleson County
MUD 1. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agr	eed Order on behalf of Buricson County MUD 1,
and do agree to the specified terms and conditions. I payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying o	in such representation.
I understand that by entering into this Agreed Order, E	Surleyon County MUD I waives certain procedural
rights, including, but not limited to, the right to form	nal notice of violations addressed by this Agreed
Order notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to	an evidentiary hearing, and the right to appeal.
signed to the terms of the Agreed Order in lieu of an e	evidentiary hearing this Agreed Order constitutes
full and final adjudication by the Commission of the vi	olations set forth in this Agreed Order.
	•
I also understand that my failure to comply with the C	Ordering Provisions, it any, in this order and/or my
failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in	
 A negative impact on my compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications sub 	bruitted by me
Greater scrutiny of any petinic applications suc	's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additions
penalties, and/or attorney thes, or to a collection	on agency:
Increased penalties in any future enforcement	actions against me;
" Increased rengines in any niture chloreconemic	•
- Increased pensities in any maine empreement - Automatic referral to the Automaty General's C	Office of any future enforcement actions against me
 Automatic referral to the Artomey General's C and 	Office of any future enforcement actions against me
Automatic referral to the Artomey General's C and TCEO scelons other relief as authorized by lav	Office of any future enforcement actions against me
 Automatic referral to the Artomey General's C and 	Office of any future enforcement actions against me w:
Automatic referral to the Artomey General's C and TCEO scelons other relief as authorized by lav	Office of any future enforcement actions against me w:
Automatic referral to the Artomey General's C and TCEO scoking other relief as authorized by lav	Office of any future enforcement actions against me w: nents may result in criminal prosecution.
Automatic referral to the Artomey General's C and TCEQ sceking other relief as authorized by lav In stidition, any falsification of any dompliance docum	Office of any future enforcement actions against me w: nents may result in criminal prosecution. 09/22/07
Automatic referral to the Artomey General's C and TCEO scelons other relief as authorized by lav	Office of any future enforcement actions against me w: nents may result in criminal prosecution.
Automatic referral to the Artomey General's C and TCEQ sceking other relief as authorized by lav In addition, any falsification of any dompliance docum Signature	Office of any future enforcement actions against me w: nents may result in criminal prosecution. 09/22/07
Automatic referral to the Artomey General's C and TCEQ sceking other relief as authorized by lav In addition, any falsification of any dompliance docum	Office of any future enforcement actions against me w: nents may result in criminal prosecution.

Burleson County MUD 1

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV. Paragraph I of this Agreed Order.